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Urban forests are crucial to alleviate climate change by reducing the amount of carbon dioxide (CO2) in the atmosphere. Although recent research has mapped the ecosystem service worldwide, most studies have not obtained accurate results owing to the usage of high-cost and low-resolution data. Hence, herein, carbon storage and carbon uptake per capita are quantified and mapped for all administrative districts of the Seoul Metropolitan City through (1) the analysis of tree cover via on-site tree investigation and aerial imagery and (2) geographic information system (GIS) analysis, targeting the Seoul Metropolitan City of South Korea, which has achieved the highest level of development. Results indicate that the total carbon storage and carbon uptake of Seoul are approximately 1459024 t and 147388 t/yr, respectively; the corresponding per unit area values are approximately 24.03 t/ha and 2.43 t/ha/yr, which are lower than those of other cities. In particular, carbon storage and uptake per capita benefits of the urban areas, except for the urban forest areas, are confirmed to show a maximum difference (~20 times) between the regions. This signifies a significant difference between areas in receiving carbon per capita benefits. Finally, we intend to quantify the tree cover and carbon cycle of urban areas of Seoul and map them in order to recognize areas requiring potential planting spaces. This will aid landscape planners and policy makers in establishing plans and policies for urban trees toward alleviating climate change and reducing the amount of fine dust and CO2 concentrations.

1. Introduction

Urban trees are uniquely capable of controlling carbon storage and emission in urban areas,1,2 and urban forests play a particularly important role in city areas with the highest carbon emissions, by affecting carbon circulation, reducing the amount of greenhouse gases, and alleviating climate change.3–5 However, their importance is not well recognized owing to the lack of understanding of their benefits.6 Urban forests also provide various ecosystem
services such as microclimate improvement, atmospheric cleanup, energy saving, rainwater collection and storage, the improvement of biological diversification, and the enhancement of soil fertilization; ecological benefits such as noise reduction, landscape beauty and amenity, and recreation and learning; esthetic/welfare benefits such as health improvement; and socioeconomic benefits such as real estate and property value increase.

The ecosystem service that is extremely important for our lives is carbon storage and uptake by vegetation; this plays a particularly important role in the alleviation of climate change, the severity of which has been realized only in recent decades.

Climate change has come to the fore as the most serious environmental issue globally. One of the major causes of climate change is the production of greenhouse gases and aerosols, which highly contaminate the atmosphere. In particular, the air in East Asian countries, such as Korea, China, and India, is loaded with fine dust particles and is one of the causes of atmospheric contamination, whereas East European countries, where various fossil fuels are mainly used as fuel, suffer greatly from atmospheric pollution. This environmental problem is now considered as a trans-global issue. In this regard, the Paris Climate Agreement was adopted to combat climate change, replacing the Kyoto Protocol, in the general assembly of concerned nations in Paris in 2015. Various measures were adopted, such as setting targets to not exceed a 2 °C rise above pre-industrial levels in the global temperature during this century.

With the rapid increase in population in urban areas globally (the proportion is expected to reach almost 70% by 2050), urban planners and policy makers need to formulate plans and manage them systematically to achieve urban carbon emission reduction targets. To achieve this, the quantification and mapping of carbon storage and uptake quantities are crucial.

Considering relevant research over the past decade with keywords such as ‘ecosystem mapping and modeling’ and ‘carbon’, unit-based studies on the local, regional, national, and global levels using land use maps have been conducted. However, the land use maps were derived from remote sensing, which is characterized by the issue of spatial error. There are also active studies utilizing satellites such as Landsat TM/ETM; however, data from Landsat images have lower credibility when employed in mapping because of low resolution (30 m). Moreover, cloud interference makes it difficult to obtain high-quality visuals over a specific period of time. To overcome this, extensive research has been conducted utilizing field survey data or high-resolution visuals (i.e., QuickBird image and LiDAR). However, they have drawbacks such as high costs involved in data collection and spatial heterogeneity that results in mapping errors.

The purpose of this research is to map an ecosystem service targeting urban units with the highest developmental achievements using aerial images; the study was conducted as follows: (1) the analysis and comparison of tree cover of the target area using aerial images and field data, (2) the analysis of carbon storage and uptake quantity of the target area by the registered land, and (3) the analysis and mapping by the administrative district, including those of carbon storage and uptake per capita quantity. Finally, by recognizing areas with less benefits of carbon
storage and uptake by administrative district and population, we intend to aid landscape planners and policy makers in realizing planning and policy making to not only increase the amount of flora and fauna, but also channel these efforts toward alleviating climate change and offsetting greenhouse emissions by region and population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

The main target area of this research is the Seoul Metropolitan City, the capital city of South Korea (longitude: 127.02°E, latitude: 37.53°N, and land area: 605 km²) (Fig. 1). Seoul is composed of 25 autonomous districts and 467 administrative towns, and the total population is approximately 976 million, which indicates a decreasing trend compared with the peak of 1016 million in the 1990s. Seoul is located geographically in the midwestern region of South Korea (Fig. 1); it is shaped like a basin and is surrounded by Bookhansan Mountain, Boolamsan Mountain, and Kwanaksan Mountain. The Han River runs from the west to the east, crossing the city center. The climate of Seoul ranges from warm to cold. There is a clear four-season difference, with significant differences in temperature and rainfall throughout the year. The average temperature per year is 12.2 °C, with 25.3 °C in summer and −5.0 °C in winter. The recorded annual average rainfall is 1646 mm.

2.2 Image data processing

After acquiring the first historical aerial image in 1947, South Korea has been collecting aerial images once every two to three years, including location information since 2010. The National Geographical Information Institute provides the data free of charge, enabling this research to utilize 214 shots taken in 2014 and 2015 as data for analysis. Some of the images

Fig. 1. Study site (Seoul, South Korea).
taken in winter show snow cover even for a forested area; therefore, the 5th forest-type map of 1:5000 scale made in 2010 was used to determine the diameter and age class of forest stands including spatial arrangement of forest community and thus calculate the carbon storage and carbon uptake.

2.3 Field data

As for field data, in all, 14 site investigations of trees were carried out from June 27 until early August of 2017 by classifying the green spaces of Seoul into three categories of land use types: public institutions, apartments, and parks. To establish the point of the investigation, the stratified sampling method was used in accordance with previous research. Jo et al.,(40) Jo et al.,(41) and Jo et al.(42) selected the center point of the study site in the aerial image of 1:1000 scale, and drew eight straight lines toward eight different directions and rings with 40 cm intervals to prepare a map in radial and ring patterns. Finally, by selecting the points where the eight straight lines cross the ring as samples, 90 samples were identified. For every investigation spot, vertical and horizontal sections were investigated for tree species, diameter at breast height (DBH), tree height, crown width, and tree density. Trees were measured at a height of 1.2 m and shrubs at 15 cm from the ground.

2.4 Calculating vegetation index using tree cover

Thus far, there has been extensive research using spectral bands to calculate vegetation indices (VIs), such as Simple Ratio Index (SRI), Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), Green Atmospherically Resistant Vegetation Index (GARVI), and Wide Dynamic Range Vegetation Index (WDRVI). However, the aerial images used in this research, as provided by the National Geographical Information Institute, only had the red, green, and blue (RGB) band; therefore, the research result of Meyer and Neto (2008) was used. Owing to the absence of near-infrared (NIR) values, in this research, we could only confirm the optimal VI by comparing various VI values using only the RGB values.

\[
R^* = \frac{R}{255}, \quad G^* = \frac{G}{255}, \quad B^* = \frac{B}{255}
\]

(1)

The RGB values here are the pixel values of each radiating corrected aerial image. First, the \(R^*, G^*,\) and \(B^*\) values were generated by standardizing the \(R, G,\) and \(B\) values between 0 and 1 using Eq. (1).

\[
r = \frac{R^*}{R^* + G^* + B^*}, \quad g = \frac{G^*}{R^* + G^* + B^*}, \quad b = \frac{B^*}{R^* + G^* + B^*}
\]

(2)

Subsequently, \(r, g,\) and \(b\) values were calculated using Eq. (2).
\begin{align*}
ExR &= 1.4r - b \quad (3) \\
ExG &= 2g - r - b \quad (4)
\end{align*}

\(ExR\) and \(ExG\) were calculated using Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively.

\[
VI = ExG - ExR
\]

Finally, using Eq. (5), VI was calculated, which ranged between \(-2.4\) and \(2\), with a resolution of 1 m.\(^{(48)}\)

2.5 Regression analysis of tree cover

The statistical analysis adopted the SPSS statistics (version 24) tool, with the on-site investigated tree cover as an independent variable and geographic information system (GIS)-analyzed tree cover as a dependent variable. Regression analyses of 10 models (linear, logarithmic, inverse, quadratic, cubic, compound, S, logistic, growth, and exponential models) were carried out via linear and curve tracking analysis. Among the models, optimal models with a higher coefficient of determination and a significance probability of less than 0.05 were selected.

2.6 Allometric equations of carbon storage and uptake

For the biomass of individual trees, the allometric growth model developed in previous research was used. Thus far, most domestic and foreign research studies have used the growth models developed from the National Institute of Forest Science against forest areas in South Korea; however, as the environment of urban trees is different from its forest trees in terms of growth environment,\(^{(47–49)}\) in this research, we used the quantitative model developed against trees of the central areas of South Korea (Appendixes 1 and 2).\(^{(50–53,58)}\) For arbor trees with no quantitative models, the same family or group of equations was used, and a quantitative model for shrubs developed by Jo\(^{(54)}\) was used. The allometric equation calculated these values for the trees using a method developed with DBH or diameter at 15 cm above ground (DAG) as an independent variable.

2.7 Calculation of carbon storage and uptake quantities of urban forest and urban areas

Seoul comprises 25 “Gu’s” and is a basin-shaped city surrounded by forests. Up in the north are located the Bookhansan and Dobongsan Mountains; at the center of Gangbuk (north of the river) are located the Naksan, Inwangsan, Namsan, and Bookaksan mountains, each at the east, west, south, and north, respectively; at the northeast are the Sooraksan and Boolamsan Mountains; and at the south are the Gwanaksan and Chonggyesan Mountains. There are also other small and medium-scale urban forests in various areas. However, as each “Gu”
has a different population, areas occupied by urban forests, and different tree covers at urban areas, the calculation was performed for carbon storage and uptake per capita quantity for the urban forest and urban areas in each administrative district. The calculation methods for this are already described above, and the carbon storage and uptake per capita quantity by each administrative district were calculated by subtracting the carbon storage and uptake quantities of the urban forest area from the total carbon storage and uptake quantities, and by dividing these by the population of each administrative district (Fig. 2).

3. Results

3.1 Tree cover

The tree cover of Seoul is approximately 233 km² and is particularly high in the forest areas surrounding the city center rather than the city center. The average tree cover of 967114 points for the total registered area was about 39.4%. In the administrative district, the average covers were 10–20% (2 ea), 20–30% (6 ea), 30–40% (9 ea), 40–50% (1 ea), 50–60% (3 ea), and 60–70% (4 ea), as listed in Table 1 and Fig. 3.

Fig. 2. (Color online) Flow chart depicting all aspects of the current study.
3.2 Comparative analyses of VI analyzed with GIS within the city center using on-site investigation data

In the comparison between the tree cover analyzed using GIS and that from the on-site investigation, the unclear data from the newly composed places or changes of institutions were excluded. Moreover, out of 90 plots under on-site investigation, 21 data considered as statistical outliers were excluded and a regression analysis was performed for the chosen 69 plots. As a result of this regression analysis, it was revealed that the involution model was appropriate for both the carbon storage and uptake quantities. This regression model was statistically significant after F verification \((p < 0.01)\), and the goodness of fit was high with 0.95 and 0.96 of \(r^2\).

3.3 Mapping urban vegetation

3.3.1 Carbon storage and uptake quantities of the whole Seoul

The carbon storage and uptake quantities of the whole Seoul, with tree cover as an independent variable, were approximately 1459024 t and 147388 t/yr, respectively. The average carbon storage and uptake per unit area were approximately 24.03 t/ha and 2.43 t/ha/yr, respectively (Figs. 4 and 5).

The highest average carbon storage and carbon uptake quantities in each registered land were revealed to originate from urban forests, and the order was park, apartment, and lands for public use.
3.3.2 Carbon storage and uptake quantities in administrative districts

The order of carbon storage quantity in the administrative districts was Gwanakgu (43.04 t/ha), Nowongu (42.7 t/ha), and Seochogu (41.29 t/ha), and the order of the carbon uptake quantity was Gwanakgu (4.53 t/ha/yr), Dobonggu (4.13 t/ha/yr), and Nowongu (4.08 t/ha/yr). This is because there are wide areas of urban forests around Seochogu, Nowongu, and Gwanakgu producing such results. However, Mapogu, Seongdonggu, and Yeongdeungpo showed the lowest carbon storage quantities of 9.91, 9.77, and 7.87 t/ha, and the lowest carbon uptake quantities of 0.90, 0.86, and 0.68 t/ha/yr, respectively (Figs. 6 and 7). This is because there are relatively fewer urban forest areas within their administrative districts.

3.3.2.1 Carbon storage and uptake quantities in urban forest areas

The carbon storage quantity of the urban forest areas of Seoul was 63.19 t/ha and the carbon uptake quantity was 6.84 t/ha/yr, amounting to 63.8 and 68.5% of the total carbon storage and carbon uptake quantities, respectively, emphasizing the important roles played by the urban forest surrounding Seoul as a source of carbon storage and uptake (Figs. 8 and 9).

3.3.2.2 Carbon storage and uptake quantities in urban areas

The carbon storage and uptake quantities of urban areas, excluding the forest areas within the city, were 11.42 t/ha, and 1.00 t/ha/yr, which are 36.2 and 31.5% of the total carbon storage and carbon uptake quantities, respectively. Yeongdeungpo, Seongdong, and Mapogu
showed the lowest total carbon storage and uptake quantities (Figs. 10 and 11). This means that the trees in urban areas play an important role in these regions. On the other hand, the total carbon storage and uptake percentages of Gangbukgu, Dobonggu, and Jongnogu among the 25 “Gu”s excluding the forest areas within the city were in the lower range (between 8 and 20%), requiring green infrastructure and plant planning in these urban areas.
3.3.3 Carbon storage and uptake per capita quantities in administrative districts

When calculating the carbon storage and uptake per capita quantities in the administrative districts based on the total carbon storage and uptake quantities and the population of each “Gu”, the order of carbon storage quantity in Seoul was Jongnogu (0.51 t/per), Seochogu (0.45 t/per), and Nowongu (0.27 t/per), and the order of carbon uptake quantity was Jongnogu (0.06 t/per/yr), Seochogu (0.04 t/per/yr), and Gangbukgu (0.03 t/per/yr) as listed in Table 2 and Figs. 12 and 13. These regions include urban forest areas showing relatively higher total carbon storage and uptake quantities.

4. Discussion

In this research, we calculated and mapped the carbon storage and uptake quantities to propose urban green space management and planning measures targeting public institutions, apartments, and parks in Seoul. Although one of the biggest cities in the world, Seoul has a small area but a large population density of 17473/km$^2$, which is the second highest after Beijing when compared with other cities such as London, New York, Singapore, and Tokyo. Accordingly, the carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emission in air is high and measures are required to reduce and offset such emission; however, because the existing studies have been performed only by sampling two “Gu”s for carbon storage and uptake quantities and the quantitative analysis towards the whole city was nonexistent, this research is considered to be of significance in this regard.

The total tree cover of Seoul is about 39.4%, which is relatively higher than those of other cities; however, the urban area tree cover excluding the urban forests surrounding the city was...
only 14.7%. This was not a significant difference from the 12–13% of Seoul’s Jungnanggu and Gangnamgu’s tree covers, according to a report by Jo. (55) Compared with studies conducted in US cities with the highest tree cover, Atlanta (36.7%), Boston (22.3%), and Oakland (21%) all recorded higher figures than Seoul. Even the UK’s Leicester (19%) (22) and China’s Xiamen (46%) (55) and Shenyang (22.3%) (17) reported higher figures.

Seoul’s per ha carbon storage quantity is 24.03 t/ha, which is apparently lower than those of cities such as Atlanta (35.74 t/ha), Baltimore (25.28 t/ha), (1) and Beijing (43.70 t/ha). (56) This is because, first, the street trees were excluded from the data analyzed and, second, Seoul has a lower density of trees planted, a small tree size, and an adverse growth environment.
The carbon uptake quantity showed similar trends to the carbon storage quantity (Atlanta: 3.36 t/ha/yr, Baltimore: 3.34 t/ha/yr, and Washington DC: 3.23 t/ha/yr)\(^{(1,57)}\); however, the carbon uptake quantities of Seoul’s Jungnanggu and Gangnamgu reported in the past research were 1.69 and 1.11 t/ha/yr, respectively, which were increased to 2.43 t/ha/yr in this research, showing an increase in urban vegetation.

The total tree cover, carbon storage, and uptake show an increase in the amount of green space compared with that in the past. However, because the difference among the administrative districts is significant, and the areas with higher population densities and smaller green spaces cannot significantly benefit from the green spaces, considerable improvement is necessary in this regard. In particular, because the urban forests are not directly visited by people despite their relative proximity to the city and their actual benefits could not be effectively reaped, the expansion of green spaces within the city center has increased.

5. Conclusions

In this study, targeting the capital city of South Korea, Seoul, which has undergone significant development and has a high population density, we performed the quantitative analysis and mapping of carbon storage and uptake using on-site investigation data and by aerial imaging and mapping of forest types. The main conclusions are as follows: (1) The total tree cover of Seoul is about 39.4%, which is relatively higher than those of other cities. (2) Total carbon storage and uptake quantities were confirmed to be favorable, with the carbon storage quantity being 1459024 t and the carbon uptake quantity being 147388 t/ha/yr. (3) However, the carbon storage quantity of Seoul’s urban forest area was 63.19 t/ha and the carbon uptake quantity was 6.84 t/ha/yr, amounting to 63.8 and 68.5% of the total carbon storage and uptake quantities, respectively. Moreover, the carbon storage and uptake quantities of urban areas were 11.42 t/ha and 1.00 t/ha/yr, amounting to 36.2 and 31.5% of the total carbon storage and uptake quantities, respectively, which were significantly less than those of urban forests. (4) Differences among the maximal and minimal administrative districts in terms of carbon storage and uptake quantities were more than 10 times, and the differences in carbon storage and uptake per capita quantities in the maximal administrative districts were more than 20 times, depicting significant regional differences. In fact, these regions are considered to not benefit much owing to the large transient population in addition to the resident population; however, this research was not able to address this point. In future studies, we aim to obtain a more accurate per capita benefit.

An on-site investigation on public institutions, apartments, and urban parks was performed, excluding the data for other green spaces such as street trees of the city and private lands. However, in an environment where no research on domestic ecosystem mapping has been performed, it is of significance to carry out this type of research. The result of this research may emphasize the importance of urban forests to alleviate climate change and will assist in the planning and development of urban forests and the selection and planting of urban trees for a decision making process using data of carbon storage and uptake of each registered land in Seoul in the future.
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### Appendix 1

**Carbon storage allometric equation model for urban trees.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Diameter range (cm)</th>
<th>Allometric equations model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer palmatum</td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>$Y = -23.2064 + 4.8538 \text{ DBH}$</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chionanthus retusus</td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.7512 + 2.4952 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus officinalis</td>
<td>3–15</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.3110 + 2.4057 \ln \text{DAG}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus armeniaca</td>
<td>4–14</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.4307 + 2.2999 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus yedoensis</td>
<td>5–23</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.8265 + 2.4181 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginkgo biloba</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.8428 + 2.3787 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelkova serrata</td>
<td>5–28</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.4708 + 2.3862 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies holophylla</td>
<td>5–19</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.2126 + 2.0814 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus densiflora</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.1140 + 2.4430 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus koraiensis</td>
<td>5–29</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -4.4489 + 2.8942 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus cuspidata</td>
<td>2–15</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.7842 + 2.4407 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| BL = broad-leaved tree, CT = coniferous tree, $Y =$ carbon storage (kg), $\text{DBH} =$ diameter at breast height (cm), and $\text{DAG} =$ diameter at 15 cm above ground for shrubs (cm). |

### Appendix 2

**Carbon uptake allometric equation model for urban tree.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Diameter range (cm)</th>
<th>Allometric equations model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer palmatum</td>
<td>7–27</td>
<td>$Y = e^{-0.4617 + 1.8613 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.0883 \times 1.0202 - e^{-2.1744 + 1.7294 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.4748$</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>$Y = 0.9608 + 0.1535 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chionanthus retusus</td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.2695 + 1.7554 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus officinalis</td>
<td>3–15</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.1622 + 1.8844 \ln \text{DAG}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginkgo biloba</td>
<td>6–31</td>
<td>$\ln Y = e^{-2.0430 + 2.3359 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.2338 \times 0.5769 - e^{-4.5072 + 2.5136 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.5742$</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.6471 + 1.8287 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus armeniaca</td>
<td>4–14</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.8278 + 1.8824 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus yedoensis</td>
<td>5–23</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.0939 + 1.7702 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelkova serrata</td>
<td>5–28</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.8177 + 1.7715 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies holophylla</td>
<td>5–19</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -3.1386 + 1.6158 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus densiflora</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -2.6720 + 1.5251 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus koraiensis</td>
<td>5–30</td>
<td>$\ln Y = e^{-0.9896 + 1.7140 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.8982 \times 0.8241 - e^{-4.2318 + 2.4175 \ln \text{DBH}} \times 0.8299 \times (12/44)$</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–31</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -4.4881 + 2.2262 \ln \text{DBH}$</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus cuspidata</td>
<td>2–15</td>
<td>$\ln Y = -4.7726 + 1.8554 \ln \text{DAG}$</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CT = Coniferous tree, $Y =$ carbon uptake (kg/yr), $\text{DBH} =$ diameter at breast height (cm), and $\text{DAG} =$ diameter at 15 cm above ground for shrubs (cm). |